



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/896,471	06/29/2001	Mary F. Hollinger	60027.0004US01/BS00318 9254 EXAMINER	
39262 75	90 10/26/2005			
BELLSOUTH CORPORATION			LIN, WEN TAI	
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2154	
			DATE MAILED: 10/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/896,471	HOLLINGER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Wen-Tai Lin	2154	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. / be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) The 3 Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-6,8,10-17 and 20-22 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6, 8, 10-17 and 20-22 is/are reject 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subject to by the Examing 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examing 10.	rawn from consideration. ted. /or election requirement.	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctable. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appliority documents have been received. au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)		mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/896,471

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-6, 8, 10-17 and 20-22 are presented for examination. Claims 7, 9 and 18-19 have been canceled.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, USC code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. Claims 1-6, 8, 10-17 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gabbita et al.(hereafter "Gabbita")[U.S.Pat. No. 6349238] in view of Official Notice
- 4. As to claim 1, Gabbita teaches the invention substantially as claimed including: an appointment setting system for assigning a service order to a network resource [e.g., col.2, lines 29-57], comprising:

an appointment negotiator [e.g., 134, 136 (LSC), Fig.1C] operative to receive a service order from a customer and deliver an appointment confirmation and an appointment rejection to the customer [e.g., steps 7-9, 19, Fig.4; col.18, lines 35-50; note that, by default, confirmation/rejection of an original service order must be sent to the customer];

Application/Control Number: 09/896,471

Art Unit: 2154

a dispatch database operative to maintain a dispatch database record of appointments previously assigned to the network resource [104, Fig.1; col.4, lines 56-61];

an appointment control system operative to receive the service order from the appointment negotiator [204, Fig.2];

determine whether the network resource can fulfill the service order [206 -212, Fig.2]; and

assign an appointment associated with the service order to the network resource and send an appointment confirmation to the appointment negotiator in response to a determination that the network resource can fulfill the service order [214-220, Fig.2];

determine whether a change has occurred to the dispatch database record associated with the network resources; determine whether the change affects the appointment associated with the service orders in response to a determination that a change to the dispatch database record associated with the network resource has occurred; and reassign the appointment associated with the service order to another network resources in response to a determination that the change to the dispatch record associated with the network resource affects the appointment associated with the service order [col.18, lines 51-64; note that detecting changes of resources and reassigning tasks to other available resources is part of the load balancing effort].

Gabbita disclosed that authorized users might log into the system and reassigned the work steps as necessary. Gabbita does not specifically teach reassigning the appointment for the above load balancing steps is performed automatically.

However, Official Notice is taken that it is well known resources availability may changes from time to time and the art of load balancing is typically performed automatically to dynamically accommodate the changes in resource availability.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that load balancing steps in Gabbita_i's system be performed automatically because the system has already automated a majority of the work steps [e.g., 414, Fig.4; col.14, lines 56-64; col.19, lines 41-53] and by allowing the system to automatically perform the reassignment steps it would facilitate the work progress and reduce human errors.

- 5. As to claim 2, Gabbita further teaches that the appointment control system is a computer-implemented system that is electronically accessible by the appointment negotiator [LSAT, Fig.1A, Fig.1B].
- 6. As to claims 3-5, Gabbita further teaches that the dispatch database record is an electronic record comprising a network resource schedule, a set of tasks to which the network resource can be assigned and appointments assigned to another network resource [104, Fig.1; col.4, lines 56-61].
- As to claims 6 and 8, Gabbita further teaches that the appointment control system is further operative to determine deliver the appointment rejection to the appointment negotiator, in response to a determination that the network resource cannot fulfill the service order and would thereby affect the original appointment confirmation [e.g., 350-356, Fig.3; col.21, lines 44-51].

Application/Control Number: 09/896,471 Page 5

Art Unit: 2154

8. As to claim 10, Gabbita further teaches that the appointment control system is further operative to receive the service order from a third party through a third party gateway [e.g., 118-120, Fig.1A; i.e., the third party residing on anywhere in the Internet can be connected to the appointment control system LSAT].

- 9. As to claim 11, since the features of this claim can also be found in claims 1-6, 8 and 10, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-6, 8 and 10 above.
- 10. As to claims 14-15, Gabbita further teaches that the step of determining whether a network resource can fulfill the service order comprises accessing the dispatch database to determine whether the network resource can be near the appointment location approximately at the appointment time or is committed to another service order at the appointment time [note that this is an inherent process in arranging an appointment].
- As to claim 16, Gabbita further teaches that the step of updating a dispatch database to reflect a reduction in a capacity value associated with the network resource comprises modifying a dispatch database record to indicate a commitment of the network resource to perform the service task at the appointment time and at the appointment location [note that it is inherent that Gabbita;|s database maintenance process needs to update its content after an appointment is made].

12. As to claims 12-13, 17 and 20-22, since the features of these claims can also be found in claims 1-6, 8, 10-11 and 14-15, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-6, 8, 10-11 and 14-15 above.

13. Applicant's arguments filed on 8/10/2005 for claims 1-6, 8, 10-17 and 20-22 have been fully considered but are most in view of the new ground of rejection.

Conclusion

Examiner note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the contest of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wen-Tai Lin whose telephone number is (571)272-3969. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00)

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (571)272-3964. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

Application/Control Number: 09/896,471 Page 7

Art Unit: 2154

(571)273-8300 for official communications; and

(571)273-3969 for status inquires draft communication.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wen-Tai Lin

October 24, 2005

allen Jan Vi-